

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/424.50	0 02/22/00	SATO		А	104788	
		MMC1/0828		EXA	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE		PINCTA 0050		LEE.G		
PO BOX 19				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HLEXHNUKI	A VA 22320			2825		
				DATE MAILED:	08/28/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Offic Action Summary	09/424,500	SATO, AKIRA				
	ome none cannary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Granvill D Lee, Jr	2825				
A SHO THE M - Exten	r Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> Mi N. 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re	ONTH(S) FROM				
- If NO - Failur - Any re	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mid d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.					
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	Irawn from consideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>15-30</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application	on Papers						
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Exam	ner.					
10) <u></u> ⊤	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ad	cepted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ di	sapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.					
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
:	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Ap	pplication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the particle application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for a least continuous control of the control	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·				
14) 🗌 Ad	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).				
15)∐ A	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome						
Attachment(·	A) ☐ 1=4==±= 0	(IDTO 440) December (1)				
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev	demark Office . 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 8				
•		.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Items #52 and #84 while depicted in the drawings are not disclosed in the specification. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- A. Claims 1, 3-4 12, 14 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phase substantially on the axis leaves too much room and uncertainty for designs relatively close to a given axis.
- B. Claims 1,3-4,12, 14-16 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The resin injection port is not depicted in the drawings or elaborated enough to disclose where along the support pin is the location of this element.

 Clarification and or correction is required.

Art Unit: 2825

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue et al. (US Pat. 5,733,802) in view of Hara (US Pat. 5,498,902) and further in view of JP Doc. 11-111746.

In view of claims 1 and 12, Inoue et al. reveals that a semiconductor package can be made by using an upper mold (Fig. 1a #10a), a lower mold (#10b), a cavity portion (#10c) and a resin sealant (#14). The package further consists of a semiconductor chip (Fig. 1b #11), a lead frame (#12), and a die pad (#12a). Hara depicts an encapsulated semiconductor package with both a resin injection port (Fig. 4 #45), a support pins (#42 & #43) and a heat radiator plate (Fig. 1 #30).

However, both Inoue et al. and Hara fail to disclose support pins along the axis of the resin injection site. JP. Doc 11-1117446 (JP '446), however discloses support pins along the axis of the resin injection site (Fig. 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Inoue et al. and Hara with those of JP '446 the expectation of achieving better mounting results, since the

Art Unit: 2825

device in the cavity portion can be held using both resin and the movable pins (Hara Col. 5 lines 17-36).

In view of claim 2, Hara unfolds a die pad (Fig. 1 #23) in contact with support pins (Fig. 2 #32) to transfer heat (Col. 7 lines 17-20).

In view of claims 3-4 and 14, JP '446 discloses support pins along the axis of the resin injection site (Fig. 2)

In view of claim 5, Hara shows that the support pins (Fig. 4 #43&42) are in contact with the semiconductor assembly (Fig. 4 #21).

In view of claims 6-7, Hara discloses a die pad supporting a semiconductor assembly from the lower position (Fig. 5 #470).

In view of claim 11, JP '446 depicts two pairs of pins (Fig. 1 #6 & #7) that connect die pad (4a) and lead frame (5a).

In view of claim 13, Hara depicts support pins (Fig. 2 #32) holding heat radiator plate (Fig. 1 #30).

Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue et al. (US Pat. 5,733,802), Hara (US Pat. 5,498,902) and in view of JP Doc. 11-111746 and further in view of Ootsuki (5,693,984).

In view of these claims, Inoue et al. reveals that a semiconductor package can be made by using an upper mold, a lower mold, a cavity portion and a resin sealant. Hara depicts an encapsulated semiconductor package with both a resin injection port, a support pins and a heat radiator plate. JP. Doc 11-117446 discloses support pins along the axis of the resin injection site. Yet,

Art Unit: 2825

all of the three inventors fail to point out a design where the die pad can be over and/or under the semiconductor device attached. Ootsuki teaches a design where the die pad can be either over or under the semiconductor device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of all the above inventors with Ootsuki with the expectation of achieving boarder design results, since placing the die pad above or below the device portion opens the possibility of packaging a larger range of devices.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 15-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications for the examiner should be directed to Granvill Lee whose telephone number is (703) 306-5865. The examiner can be normally reached on Monday thru Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are not successful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on (703) 308-1323. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 308-7722.

Art Unit: 2825

Any inquiry of a general nature relating to status or otherwise should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

Examiner Granvill Lee Art Unit 2825

Gl 8/20/01

> MATTHEW SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Marl Soul